

Література:

1. Книга Чесного Непорочного Євангелія, Світильника, що сяє й освітлює / упор., передм. І. Осташ. Київ: Фонд пам'яті Блаженнішого Митрополита Володимира, 2021. 408 с.
2. Факсимильное издание рукописи *A 187* (Арабская петербургская лицевая Псалтырь) из собрания Института востоковедения РАН (Санкт-Петербургский филиал) / под общ. ред. Н. И. Серикова. Санкт-Петербург – Воронеж : Квартал, 2005. 213 с.
3. Feodorov I. Arabic Printing for the Christians in Ottoman Lands. Berlin – Boston : De Gruyter, 2023. 441 p.
4. Roberts A. M. Reason and Revelation in Byzantine Antioch. The Christian Translation Program of Abdallah ibn al-Fadl. Oakland: University of California Press, 2020. 357 p.

UDC 811

DOI <https://doi.org/10.36059/978-966-397-346-3-25>

Tyshchenko-Monastyrsko O. O.

ORCID: 0000-0001-8515-1657

***PhD, Phillip Schwarz Visiting Researcher
Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz
Mainz, Germany***

WORD-BUILDING FEATURES OF NOMINA ACTIONIS IN KRYMCHAK BIBLE TRANSLATIONS

Key words: Krymchak language, deverbal nouns, word-building.

The linguistic data was taken from the manuscript of Daniel which is in the possession of Simferopol ethnographic museum. The source is marked with the letter D. Written Krymchak utilizes a wide array of deverbal noun-formants, which form agent nouns and abstract nouns of action. Word-building suffixes in Krymchak texts could be written separately.

Agentive noun formants- (y)ČI, -(y)IČI signify the person that perform action: *yıldızya baxıcı* “astronomer”>*yıldızya bax-* “to look at the stars”, *buyrucu* “commander”>*buyur-* “to order”, *qutarıcı* “rescuer”>*qutar-* “to save”, *qıstayıcı* “oppressor”>*qısta-* “to press”. Deverbal suffix -ČI can serve to form nouns and adjectives: see KD *suglançı* “lovely”, KRPS *suxlančı* “desirable, enviable” derived from the verb *suglan-* “to like, to desire” [2, p. 133; 1, p. 364]. In Krymchak Daniel deverbal derivate *suhlančı* appears in unusual function as

adjective: Da hem âvoda-zara_leri süzmekleri ilen.. suhlançî sayitlari ilen.. kümüs da altın.. yesirlik ilen ketirir Miçraim_ya [D11:8] “And he will carry their gods, their metal (molten) images, their valuable vessels of silver and gold and captives to Egypt”.

Resultative nouns are derived from transitive and intransitive verbs with suffix -(X)v: *qıstov* “tax collector” >*qısta-* “to press”, *talov* “plunder”>*tala-* “to loot”, *kösev* “firebrand”>*kes-* “to cut, to slay, to shear”, *aytiv* “speech” >*ayt-* “to say”.

The suffix -(y)ıš derives nouns from transitive verbs: *uruš* “hit, combat” >*ur-* “to hit”, *qaryiš* “curse” >*qarya-* “to curse”, *oxşayıš* “similitude, likeness” >*oxşa-* “to be similar”.

Suffix -mA derives nouns denoting result of action *ezme* “mash, blend, mix” >*ez-* “to smash, to bruise, to crumple”, *kečinme* “earnings” >*kečin-* “to earn”, *saylamalar* “elite” > “*sayla-* “to elect, to prefer” >*say-* “1. to count, to calculate, 2. to esteem, to prospect”.

Suffix -mAQ appears quite frequently in the Krymchak Daniel, derives nouns denoting the subject of intransitive verb and the object of transitive verb *bilmek* “knowledge” >*bil-* “to know”, *qonmax* “dwelling, accomodation” >*qon-* “to live, to settle, to lodge” [5, p. 165; 1, p. 311], *qaltıramaχ* “trembling” >*qaltıra-* “to tremble”, *utanmaq* “shame” >*utan-* “to be ashamed”, *süzmek* “image” >*sız-* “1. to draw; 2. to melt” [1, p. 355], *qoşulmaχ* “agreement” >*qoşul-* “to join”.

Infinitive nouns behave as normal nouns following full possessive and non-possessive declension paradigm: Da hem âvoda-zara_leri **süzmekleri** ilen.. suhlançî sayitlari ilen.. kümüs da altın.. yesirlik ilen ketirir Miçraim_ya [D11:8] “He will also seize their gods, their images-Pl.Poss3 and their valuable articles of silver and gold and carry them off to Egypt”.

Ol vajitta nebukadnečar yan şaşmaladı da turdu **abdıramaq** ilen [D3:24] “Then Nebuchadnezzar the king confused and rose up with scare”.

Adonay bizde **utanmaqı** ol yüzlerinj yanlarımıza agalarımızya da atalarımızya ki suč ettik saja [D9:7] “Lord, the shame-Poss.3 unto us to our kings, to our princes and to our fathers, because we have sinned against you”.

Da kiyik ilen çöl_nüj **qonmayıñ** [D4:29] “and your dwelling-Poss.2 is with wild animals”.

The noun *selemek* “flood” >*selle-* “to wash away” [5, p. 181], absent from the dictionaries and previous edited publications is formed by analogy to *çapmaq* “flood” >*çap-* “to irrigate, to flood with” [1, p. 111].

Da küüllük_leri ol **selemek_nıñ**.. seler_ler alündan [D11:22] “And the flood-Gen. of their army washed away from him”.

Da tüşüryirmen **yalvarmaχıñ_nıñ**.. alına H tajrım_nüj [D9:19] “I present my supplication-Poss1.Acc before the Lord my God”.

Aorist ending in suffix -Ir could also function as noun *pekar* “fortress” >*pekar*- “to strengthen”: da mal olarya talar da **pekarlar** üstüne pikir eter pikir ler.. da vaxitqa degen [D11:23] “they will plunder their property and they will approach the strongholds”.

Suffix -(X)Q forms nouns denoting the result of action from transitive verbs *tösek* “bed” >*töse*- “to cover”, *turax* “place” >*tur*- “to stand”, *yarij* “light” >*yari*- “to shine”, *körek* “heaven”.

Suffix -QXn forms nouns that indicate the process: *učqun* “spark” >*uč*- “to fly” [8, p. 81–82].

Suffix -QI indicates the result of the action *ički* “a drink” >*ič*- “to drink” or the instrument with which the action is done *čalgi* “musical instrument” >*čal*- “to play (a musical instrument)”.

Suffix -(y)IN denotes the result of doing X, such *asyij* “army, crowd, mass” >*yij*- “to gather, to assemble”, compare to *iyin* [1, p. 192], *iyin*, *iyin*, *yijin*, *iyin* KRPS 650. The verb *iyin*- is intransitive.

Compound of the group of suffixes based on -mAQ appeared in the example *yilamayilihlar* “weeping, lamentation” >*yilamaq* “cf” >*yila*- “to weep”.

The overwhelming majority of words are evidenced in the Crimean vocabulary represented in KPRS, KD, CKD, QL. Nevertheless, there are some words and phonetic variants absent from established studies, especially in terms of deverbal nouns. Semantic studies discovered some new orthographic variants, as well as new shades of meaning of many documented words.

ezme “mash, blend, mix” >*ez*- “to smash, to bruise, to crumple” *ezme* “jam” KD 75, Trakai Karaim *ežna* “marmalade” KRPS 655.

qonmax “dwelling” >*qon*- “to live, to accommodate”.

qošulmax “agreement” >*qošul*- “to join”.

saylanma “chosen, elite”.

selemek “flood” by analogy to *čapmak* “flood (of a river)” [1, p. 111; 6, p. 624].

stizmek “image” >*stiz*- “to draw” or “metal image (in the context of Daniel)” >*stiz*- “to filter, to pour”. Compare to Kyr. *сүзмө* “flat oblong metal decorations on horse garment” [9, p. 170].

turax “place” da turyun turaxij üstüne ki yiberildim sanja [D10:11] “and stand on your place, as I was sent to you”. Compare to Kyrgyz *mýrapak* “place, dwelling, accommodation” [9, p. 269].

yij “army, crowd, mass” >*yij*- “to gather, to assemble”.

The Krymchak fragment of Daniel shows many archaic features in word-building, especially in the category of deverbal nouns, sharing these features with Middle Turkic texts.

Bibliography:

1. CKD – Aqtay Gulayhan, Henryk Jankowski 2015. A Crimean Karaim-English dictionary. Poznan: Katedra Studiów Azjatyckich UAM.
2. Ianbay, Iala and Erdal, Marcel. 1998. The Krimchak Translation of Targum Šeni of the Book of Ruth. Mediterranean Language Review 10: 1–53.
3. Jankowski, Henryk 2017. Karaim and Krymchak. In Handbook of Jewish Languages. Leiden, The Netherlands : Brill, 452–489.
4. Jankowski, Henryk 2016. “187. Crimean Tatar”. Volume 5 Word-Formation: An International Handbook of the Languages of Europe, edited by Peter O. Müller, Ingeborg Ohnheiser, Susan Olsen and Franz Rainer, Berlin, Boston : De Gruyter Mouton, pp. 3414–3433.
5. KD – Ianbay, Iala 2016. Krimchak Dictionary. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.
6. KRPS – Słownik karaimsko-rosyjsko-polski / Eds. N. A. Baskakov, S. M. Szapszal, A. Zajączkowski. Moskva : Russkij Jazik, 1974.
7. QL – Useinov, Seyran 2008. Qırımtatarca-Rusça-Ukraince Luğat. Aqmescit : Tezis.
8. Zajączkowski, Ananjasz 1932. Sufiksy Imienne i Czasownikowe w Języku Zachodniokaraimskim. Przyczynek do morfologii języków tureckich. Prace Komisji orientalistycznej. Nr. 15.
9. Юдахин К. Киргизско-русский словарь. Кн. 2. Фрунзе : Киргизская Советская Энциклопедия, 1985.