

3. Нагорна Л. Символічний простір інформаційного суспільства: зорові аберації віртуальності. *Політичний менеджмент*. 2009. № 2. С. 3–12. URL: http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/ПоМе_2009_2_3

4. Яковлев Д. В. Політична інтеракція у сучасній Україні: особливості та складові : автореф. дис. ... д-ра політ. наук : 23.00.02. Одеса, 2009. 30 с.

5. Яковлев Д. Дискурс вітчизняних медіа щодо країн Балтії. *Актуальні проблеми філософії та соціології*. 2021. № 26. С. 82–87. URL: <https://doi.org/10.32837/apfs.v0i26.910>

DOI <https://doi.org/10.36059/978-966-397-389-0-8>

FROM HASHTAGS TO HIGH OFFICE: THE ROLE OF DIGITAL CAMPAIGNING IN POPULIST MOVEMENTS

Garashchuk Dmytro Volodymyrovych

*Postgraduate student at the Department of International Relations
and Political Management*

*Zhytomyr State Technological University
Zhytomyr, Ukraine*

Digital campaigning has become an essential tool for modern politics, particularly for populist movements. In today's interconnected world, social media platforms and online communication play a crucial role in shaping public opinion and mobilizing supporters. Populist leaders and movements have harnessed the power of digital campaigning to bypass traditional media channels and directly engage with their target audience. By utilizing catchy hashtags, compelling imagery, and targeted messaging, populist movements are able to effectively promote their agenda, attract followers, and rally support for their cause. The rise of digital campaigning has leveled the playing field in politics, allowing populist movements to challenge established political parties and gain significant traction among the electorate. As a result, digital campaigning has become a fundamental aspect of modern political strategy, particularly for populist leaders and movements seeking to disrupt the status quo [1].

The transformational role of digital tools in achieving political power is evident in the way they have revolutionized political campaigning and mobilization. Digital tools, from social media platforms to online communication channels, have provided populist movements with unprecedented access to their target audience. By leveraging hashtags, compelling imagery,

and targeted messaging, populist leaders and movements have been able to effectively shape public opinion, attract supporters, and rally grassroots momentum [2].

Digital tools have significantly disrupted traditional media channels, allowing populist movements to bypass mainstream outlets and directly engage with their followers. This direct engagement has empowered populist leaders to convey their ideologies, messages, and policy proposals without the filter of traditional media biases or gatekeeping. As a result, digital tools have enabled populist movements to effectively communicate their agenda, connect with like-minded individuals, and mobilize their supporters with greater speed and efficiency than ever before [3].

Furthermore, the use of digital tools in political campaigning has leveled the playing field, enabling populist movements to challenge the dominance of established political parties. With lower barriers to entry and the ability to reach a wide audience at minimal cost, digital campaigning has empowered populist movements to compete on equal footing with traditional political establishments. This newfound capability has allowed populist leaders and movements to gain significant traction among the electorate, ultimately reshaping the political landscape and challenging the status quo.

The evolution of digital campaigning has been marked by a significant shift towards social media and digital platforms as primary avenues for political mobilization. With the rise of social media platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram, populist movements have utilized these channels to directly engage with their audience, bypassing traditional media outlets and shaping public opinion. The use of catchy and impactful hashtags has allowed populist movements to consolidate their messaging, connect with supporters, and drive momentum for their cause [4]. Additionally, the targeted use of digital platforms has enabled populist movements to reach specific demographic groups, effectively tailoring their messages to resonate with different segments of the population. This level of micro-targeting was previously unattainable through traditional campaign methods and has empowered populist leaders to mobilize support with precision and efficiency.

As digital campaigning continues to evolve, the use of data analytics and online advertising has further amplified the reach and impact of populist movements. By harnessing data-driven insights, populist leaders can strategically deploy resources to maximize their online presence, effectively amplifying their message and engaging with a broader audience. The strategic use of social media has been pivotal in enabling populist movements to engage supporters, propagate their message, and organize events with unprecedented efficiency and impact [5].

Social media has become a cornerstone for populist movements to engage supporters, spread their message, and galvanize their base. Platforms such as Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram have provided an unparalleled avenue for populist leaders to directly connect with their followers without relying on traditional media channels. The interactive nature of social media allows for real-time engagement, facilitating two-way communication between populist movements and their supporters [6]. One of the strategic uses of social media by populist movements is the organization of events and rallies. By leveraging the widespread reach of social media, populist leaders can quickly disseminate information about upcoming events, mobilize supporters to attend, and create buzz around their cause. The ability to directly communicate with their audience ensures that the message is delivered unfiltered and in a targeted manner, maximizing turnout and enthusiasm for events.

Moreover, social media serves as a powerful tool for spreading the message and ideology of populist movements. Through the strategic deployment of targeted messaging and compelling imagery, populist leaders can effectively shape public opinion and garner support for their agenda. Hashtags play a crucial role in consolidating messaging and creating a unified narrative that resonates with supporters, while also enabling the movement to trend and capture broader attention [7].

In addition to engaging existing supporters, social media allows populist movements to reach new audiences and expand their base. The sharing and reposting of content by supporters amplify the movement's reach, exposing their message to individuals who may not have been previously engaged. This organic dissemination of information through social media contributes to the movement's growth and influence. The role of viral content and direct communication in bypassing traditional media cannot be overstated [8]. Through the strategic use of social media and digital platforms, populist movements have been able to create and disseminate content that resonates with their target audience, leading to widespread sharing and engagement. This viral content has enabled populist leaders to capture public attention, shape narratives, and influence public discourse without the need for traditional media gatekeepers.

There are several examples of populist movements and leaders who have effectively used digital campaigning to gain political momentum or office. One prominent example is the election campaign of Donald Trump in leveraged social media for political engagement and event organization. One prominent example is the successful use of digital campaigning by the 2016 presidential campaign of Donald Trump. Trump's team capitalized on social media platforms such as Twitter to directly connect with his supporters, circumventing traditional media channels and shaping public

discourse. Through his outspoken and attention-grabbing tweets, Trump engaged with his followers in real time, conveyed his unfiltered message, and rallied support for his campaign. This direct and often controversial communication style created a strong sense of connection with his base and helped propel him to victory in the presidential election [9].

Another notable example is the use of social media by the Five Star Movement in Italy. The movement effectively utilized digital platforms to mobilize support, organize events, and spread their anti-establishment message. By leveraging social media and digital tools, the Five Star Movement was able to connect with disenfranchised voters, communicate their agenda directly, and gain significant political momentum, ultimately leading to electoral success in Italy [10].

In addition, the Brexit campaign in the United Kingdom utilized digital campaigning to mobilize support for leaving the European Union. Proponents of Brexit leveraged social media channels to convey their message, organize rallies, and engage with voters across the country. Through targeted messaging and the use of compelling visuals, the Brexit campaign effectively harnessed social media to galvanize public opinion and drive momentum for their cause, ultimately leading to the historic referendum result in favor of leaving the EU [11].

These examples demonstrate how populist movements and leaders have effectively utilized digital campaigning and social media to engage supporters, shape public opinion, and gain political momentum, challenging the established political order and reshaping the political landscape.

The interaction between populist movements and the public has been fundamentally altered by the advent of digital campaigning and social media. Populist leaders and movements can now directly engage with their supporters, bypassing traditional intermediaries such as mainstream media outlets and political parties. This direct interaction has fostered a sense of immediacy and authenticity, allowing for unfiltered communication and a closer connection between leaders and their followers [12]. Social media has enabled populist movements to tailor their messaging and engagement strategies to resonate with specific segments of the public. This personalized approach has allowed populist movements to forge a strong emotional connection with their audience, amplifying their impact and mobilizing support. Moreover, digital campaigning has facilitated a two-way communication channel between populist movements and the public. Supporters can actively participate in shaping the movement's narrative by sharing content, contributing to discussions, and expressing their support for the cause. This interactive dynamic has empowered the public to directly influence the trajectory of populist movements, contributing to a sense of ownership and community among supporters.

The immediacy of social media communication has also enabled populist movements to swiftly respond to unfolding events and address public concerns in real time. This agility in communication has allowed leaders to appear responsive and in tune with the public sentiment, further enhancing their connection with supporters and maintaining momentum for their movement. Social media's viral nature has helped spread populist messages and ideologies to a diverse audience, expanding the support base of populist movements and challenging traditional boundaries of political engagement [13].

However, the use of digital campaigning by populist movements also poses significant challenges to democratic processes. One of the foremost issues is the spread of misinformation and disinformation through social media platforms. The viral nature of content on these platforms can lead to the rapid dissemination of false or misleading information, which can significantly distort public discourse and manipulate public opinion. This challenge is exacerbated by the echo chamber effect, where individuals are exposed primarily to information and viewpoints that align with their existing beliefs, leading to heightened polarization and division within society [14]. Furthermore, the direct and unfiltered communication style employed by populist leaders on social media can contribute to the amplification of polarizing rhetoric and the erosion of civil discourse. The lack of traditional media gatekeepers can result in the unchecked spread of inflammatory or divisive messages, further deepening societal divisions and undermining the principles of open and respectful public debate [8]. Moreover, the targeting of specific segments of the public through personalized messaging and the strategic use of social media algorithms raises concerns about the manipulation of public opinion and the potential for undue influence on democratic processes. The ability of populist movements to tailor their messaging to appeal to specific emotions and biases of their target audience can distort public perceptions and undermine the informed decision-making essential to democratic societies [15].

Addressing these challenges is crucial for safeguarding the integrity of democratic processes in the digital age. Regulation and oversight of digital campaigning, as well as initiatives to promote media literacy and critical thinking, are necessary to mitigate the harmful effects of misinformation, polarization, and manipulation in the digital public sphere. Recognizing and addressing these challenges is essential to ensuring that the potential benefits of digital campaigning do not come at the expense of the fundamental principles of democracy and informed civic engagement.

The examples of the successful utilization of digital campaigning by populist movements underscore the pivotal role of digital tools in reshaping the dynamics of political engagement. Through direct and personalized communication, populist leaders have been able to forge strong emotional

connections with their supporters, amplifying their impact and mobilizing significant political momentum. This has not only challenged the established political order but also reshaped the political landscape in various countries.

The interaction between populist movements and the public has undergone a fundamental shift with the advent of digital campaigning and social media. Populist leaders can now engage directly with their base, leveraging targeted messaging and interactive communication to foster a sense of immediacy and authenticity. This has empowered supporters to actively shape the movement's narrative, engendering a sense of ownership and community among the public. However, the proliferation of misinformation, polarization, and the potential for undue influence represent significant challenges to democratic processes in the digital age. The unregulated spread of false information and the amplification of polarizing rhetoric through social media platforms can distort public discourse and manipulate public opinion. Furthermore, the tailored messaging utilized by populist movements raises concerns about the potential manipulation of public perception, undermining the informed decision-making essential to democratic societies.

In light of these challenges, it is imperative to implement measures that safeguard the integrity of democratic processes in the digital sphere. Regulation and oversight of digital campaigning, along with initiatives to promote media literacy and critical thinking, are crucial to mitigating the harmful effects of misinformation and polarization. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensuring that the potential benefits of digital campaigning contribute to informed civic engagement without compromising the fundamental principles of democracy. As future political campaigns continue to evolve in the digital landscape, it is essential to strike a balance between leveraging the potential of digital tools and upholding the integrity of democratic processes.

References:

1. D. McDowell-Naylor, “#GE2017: Digital Media and the Campaigns,” Springer eBooks. Pp. 187–205. Nov. 2018. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-00822-2_13
2. A. Jungherr, G. Rivero, and D. Gayo-Avello, “Retooling Politics.” Jun. 2020. doi: 10.1017/9781108297820
3. P. Gerbaudo, “Social media and populism: an elective affinity?,” *Media, Culture & Society*, vol. 40, no. 5. Pp. 745–753, May 2018. doi: 10.1177/0163443718772192
4. A. Chadwick and J. Stromer-Galley, “Digital Media, Power, and Democracy in Parties and Election Campaigns,” *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, vol. 21, no. 3. Pp. 283–293, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1177/1940161216646731

5. J. T. Jost et al., “How Social Media Facilitates Political Protest: Information, Motivation, and Social Networks,” *Political Psychology*, vol. 39, no. S1. Pp. 85–118. Feb. 2018. doi: 10.1111/pops.12478
6. A. Getachew and T. Beshah, “The Role of Social Media in Citizen’s Political Participation,” *IFIP advances in information and communication technology*. Pp. 487–496, Jan. 2019. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-20671-0_33
7. P. Barberá and T. Zeitzoff, “The New Public Address System: Why Do World Leaders Adopt Social Media?,” *International Studies Quarterly*, vol. 62, no. 1. Pp. 121–130. Oct. 2017. doi: 10.1093/isq/sqx047
8. S. Engesser, N. Ernst, F. Esser, and F. Büchel, “Populism and social media: how politicians spread a fragmented ideology,” *Information, Communication & Society*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1109–1126, Jul. 2016. doi: 10.1080/1369118x.2016.1207697
9. G. J. Hendrix, “The roles of social media in 21st century populisms: US Presidential campaigns,” *Revista Teknokultura*, vol. 16, no. 1. Pp. 1–10. Apr. 2019, doi: 10.5209/tekn.63098
10. A. Lucarelli, G. Fuschillo, and Z. Chytková, “How cyber political brands emerge: a socio-material analysis of the Italian Five Star movement and the Czech pirate party,” *European Journal of Marketing*, vol. 55, no. 4. Pp. 1130–1154. Nov. 2020. doi: 10.1108/ejm-04-2019-0336
11. J. M. M. Rosa and C. J. Ruiz, “Reason vs. emotion in the Brexit campaign: How key political actors and their followers used Twitter,” *First Monday*, Feb. 2020, doi: 10.5210/fm.v25i3.9601
12. K. J. Cassell, “The comparative effectiveness of populist rhetoric in generating online engagement,” *Electoral Studies*, vol. 72. Pp. 102359–102359. Aug. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.electstud.2021.102359
13. H. G. de Zúñiga, K. Koc-Michalska, and A. Römmele, “Populism in the era of Twitter: How social media contextualized new insights into an old phenomenon,” *New Media & Society*, vol. 22, no. 4. Pp. 585–594. Apr. 2020. doi: 10.1177/1461444819893978
14. F. Pira, “Disinformation a problem for democracy: profiling and risks of consensus manipulation,” *Frontiers in Sociology*, vol. 8, May 2023. doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2023.1150753
15. B. Olaniran and I. M. Williams, “Social Media Effects: Hijacking Democracy and Civility in Civic Engagement,” *Springer eBooks*. Pp. 77–94. Jan. 2020. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-36525-7_5